arXiv:1702.00922v3 [math.GT] 16 Mar 2017

CONFIGURATIONS OF POINTS AND TOPOLOGY OF REAL LINE ARRANGEMENTS

BENOÎT GUERVILLE-BALLÉ AND JUAN VIU-SOS

Abstract. A central question in the study of line arrangements in the complex projective plane $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is: when does the combinatorial data of the arrangement determine its topological properties? In the present work, we introduce a topological invariant of complexified real line arrangements, the *chamber weight*. This invariant is based on the weight counting over the points of the arrangement dual configuration, located in particular chambers of the real projective plane $\mathbb{RP}^2$, dealing only with geometrical properties.

Using this dual point of view, we construct several examples of complexified real line arrangements with the same combinatorial data and different embeddings in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ (i.e. Zariski pairs), which are distinguished by this invariant. In particular, we obtain new Zariski pairs of 13, 15 and 17 lines defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and containing only double and triple points. For each one of them, we can derive degenerations, containing points of multiplicity 2, 3 and 5, which are also Zariski pairs.

We explicitly compute the moduli space of the combinatorics of one of these examples, and prove that it has exactly two connected components. We also obtain three geometric characterizations of these components: the existence of two smooth conics, one tangent to six lines and the other containing six triple points, as well as the collinearity of three specific triple points.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Configurations of points and dual arrangements 5
2. Topology of line arrangements: Zariski pairs 10
3. Degenerations of the configuration $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ 15
4. Moduli spaces and geometrical characterizations 18
5. Other examples of Zariski pairs 23
6. Relation with $\mathcal{I}$-invariant and proof of the main results 27
References 34
Appendix A. The $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and their duals 37
Appendix B. The $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ and their duals 45

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52B30, 52C35, 32Q55, 54F65, 32S22 .

The first author is supported by a JSPS postdoctoral grant. The second author is partially supported by MTM2013-45710-C02-01-P and Grupo Geometría of Gobierno de Aragón/Fondo Social Europeo.

Introduction

A line arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite collection of lines in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. A classical problem in algebraic geometry related to this object is the study of its topology, defined as the homeomorphism type of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A})$. Even if line arrangements are the simplest type of reducible plane curves, their topology is still not well understood. Currently many open questions in this field deal with the influence of the combinatorial type (i.e. the intersection lattice) on the topology. It is easy to prove that topology determines combinatorics, but the converse has been shown to be wrong in the case of line arrangements by Rybnikov [Ryb11] who showed that the fundamental group of the complement $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}$ is not determined by its combinatorics. Following Artal in [Art94b], two line arrangements with the same combinatorics but different topologies are called a *Zariski pair*.

Historically, this problem was first considered in the more general case of algebraic plane curves in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ by Zariski in [Zar29, Zar31, Zar36], who constructed two irreducible sextics with six ordinary cusps and possessing the same combinatorics, but different topologies. The difference between the embeddings of these sextics is exhibited by a geometrical property: in one sextic, its six singular points lie on a conic, whereas in the other one they do not (see [ACAT08] for a survey on Zariski pairs of algebraic curves).

In general, it is difficult to determine if two arrangements have different homomorphism types. In the case of fundamental groups of complements of line arrangements, finite presentations can be obtained by various methods (see [Arv92] and [Moi81, Zar29, Kam33]). But, in general, determining whether two such finite presentations give isomorphic groups is an *undecidable* problem.

Therefore studying what topological properties are combinatorially determined is becoming an increasing focus of research. For instance, the first Betti numbers and the monodromy of their Milnor fiber, twisted cohomology and characteristic varieties (see [CS99, DP03, Lib02, Yos13]) are not known to be combinatorially determined. However, the (co)homology ring of the complement $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}$ is known to be [OS80].

Although there has been active research on this topic, only three examples of Zariski pairs of line arrangements are known. As stated above, the first known example, due to Rybnikov, appeared in 1994. He constructed a pair of arrangements, by gluing together first two positive and then one positive and one negative MacLane arrangements. Thus proving that their fundamental groups are not isomorphic by means of an invariant based on the lower central series. Both arrangements contain only double and triple singular points and equations (of each line) can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$, where ζ_3 is a primitive third-root of unity, but not over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The second example of a Zariski pair is obtained by Artal, Carmona, Cogolludo, and Marco [ACRCAMB05]. It is a pair of arrangements of 11 lines containing points of multiplicity at most five and whose equations can be found to be Galois-conjugated in

$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. Their topological type can be distinguished by an invariant of the pseudo-Coxeter element of the braid monodromy. The fact that they are Galois-conjugated implies that this pair cannot be detected by algebraic methods [ACRCAMB05, Remark 5.4]. Again, these arrangements can not have rational equations.

The last example was found by the first author in [GB16a], and it was detected using the linking invariant $\mathcal{I}$ (see [AFGB16, GBM16]) which is an adaptation of the linking number of the braid monodromy. These are arrangements of 12 lines containing points of multiplicity at most five. Their equations can be found to be also Galois-conjugated in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$, where ζ_5 is a primitive fifth-root of unit. Moreover, their fundamental groups are non-isomorphic as was later proved by Artal, Cogolludo, Marco and the first author [ACAGBMB15]. Once again, these arrangements are not rational.

It is worth mentioning that a computer was necessary to detect all of these Zariski pairs, due to the increasing complexity of the computations resulting from the high number of lines and the multiplicity of their singularities. Note also that, until now, no known Zariski pair of line arrangements admits realizations over the rational numbers.

An interesting family of line arrangements is formed by the *complexified real arrangements*, i.e. those for which a coordinate system of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ exists such that any line of the arrangement is defined by an $\mathbb{R}$-linear form. In this case, the real picture of this kind of arrangements determines, not only fundamental groups [Arv92], or abelian covers [Hir93], but all the topological information [CR03]. Using this, several methods and algorithms are developed to compute topological invariants of complexified real arrangements (see [Yos13], [Yos15] or more recently [BS16]).

It is thus natural to ask whether or not a certain topological invariant is combinatorially determined for complexified real arrangements (even if it is not determined in the general complex case): for instance, the linking invariant $\mathcal{I}$, introduced by Artal, Florens and the first author in [AFGB16]. Heuristically, even if complexified real arrangements seem to have no "linking information", no formal arguments are known to confirm or refute this hypothesis. It is interesting to note that the only known Zariski pair of complexified real arrangements is that of Artal, Carmona, Cogolludo, and Marco, which cannot be distinguished by the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant or by any other known invariants other than that introduced in [ACRCAMB05]. This illustrates the difficulty of distinguishing such Zariski pairs.

In the present work, we consider the question of recognizing Zariski pairs of complexified real arrangements in a more geometrical way. Our main tool to deal with this question is the study of the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant from a dual point of view, i.e. with configurations of points in the dual real projective plane. More precisely, we introduce the notion of (t, m)-configuration as a set of points (containing t marked points) in $\mathbb{RP}^2$ in such a way that the complexified dual arrangement has optimal properties in order to use the

$\mathcal{I}$-invariant. These configurations are provided with a *plumbing* map associating to each point a weight modulo m.

From the previous data, we define the *chamber weight* of the $(3, m)$-configuration by counting the weight of the points located in a given chamber of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ (determined by the 3 marked points). As stated in Theorem 1.18 and Corollary 1.19, the value of the chamber weight is a topological invariant of the dual line arrangement of the configuration. In addition, it is related to the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant as proven in Theorem 6.9. It is worth noticing how simple the computation of this invariant is. Since this construction is elementary, it makes sense to ask about the effectiveness of this invariant for complexified real arrangements. We illustrate this by the distinction of several new Zariski pairs.

Indeed, we present three examples of Zariski pairs of complexified real arrangements by constructing different combinatorially-equivalent $(3, 2)$-configurations with distinct chamber weights. The first example obtained by this method contains 13 lines defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and it possesses at most triple points. In addition, these arrangements are not rigid, allowing us to construct two distinct degenerations that admit singular points of multiplicity 5. Using the same kind of construction and arguments, we also construct Zariski pairs of complexified real arrangements with 15 and 17 lines. For each one, we can derive two or three degenerations which are also Zariski pairs containing points of multiplicity 5. Summarizing, we present 10 Zariski pairs of line arrangements with rational equations and which are topologically distinguished using this geometrical invariant.

Moreover, we explicitly compute their *moduli space* (i.e. the space of all arrangements having a given combinatorics) of the degeneration with two quintuple points of the Zariski pair with 13 lines. This allows us to prove that this moduli space has exactly two connected components, each one corresponding to an arrangement of the Zariski pair. From this, we obtain three Zariski-like geometric characterizations of these connected components:

- *Tangency to a conic*: In the first connected component of the moduli space, six lines of the arrangement are tangent to a smooth conic, while they are not in the second one.
- *Singularities in a conic*: In addition, six triple points of the arrangement are contained in a smooth conic in the first component, and this is not longer true in the second one.
- *Alignment of singularities*: Three triple points are aligned in any arrangement of the first one, but this does not hold in the second connected component.

To our knowledge, this is the first time that such a geometric characterization is revealed for Zariski pairs of line arrangements. Moreover, they are the first examples of Zariski pairs of rational line arrangements.

In an upcoming paper [AGBVS17], the authors prove that some of these Zariski pairs can be distinguished by their fundamental groups. More precisely, the corresponding lower central factors differ by a torsion element. These computations give a negative

answer to QUESTION 8.7 of [Suc01]. Unfortunately, the cohomology with twisted coefficients and the Betti numbers or the monodromy of the Milnor fiber do not differ in these pairs.

In these examples of Zariski pairs, the simplicity of computation of the chamber weight and the possibility of constructing other examples using the present method are a significant progress for the fundamental questions relating combinatorial data and topology of line arrangements. As an illustration of these possibilities, at the end of the paper we give a sketch of an alternative proof of Artal's result [Art94a] stating that the characteristic varieties are not determined by the weak combinatorics. We prove this result by using simultaneously the notion of chamber weight and Artal's construction [Art14].

The paper is organized as follows:
In Section 1, we define the notion of (t, m)-configuration and we introduce our topological invariant: the chamber weight of a $(3, m)$-configuration. Our main invariance theorem is also stated together with a useful corollary. Section 2 recalls aspects of the topology of line arrangements, but it is mainly devoted to the study of the first example of Zariski pair with 13 lines. The degenerations of this Zariski pair are given in Section 3. We show in Section 4 that the moduli space of one of the previous Zariski pairs has two connected components which can be distinguished by geometrical properties. A list of other examples of Zariski pairs and degenerations are described in Section 5. After reviewing the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant, all the proofs related to our main results are presented in Section 6. In the appendix, we provide detailed pictures of the Zariski pair with 13 lines possessing at most triple points as well as those of its degeneration with two quintuple points.

Acknowledgments. The main part of this work was done during the second author's visit to Japan. He would like to thank Tokyo Gakugei University, as well as the first author and his wife for their hospitality and the grant MTM2013-45710-C02-01-P for the travel support. Both authors would like to thank Hokkaido University and the organizers of the *Summer Conference on Hyperplane Arrangements in Sapporo 2016*, as important advances of the present work were made during this week. In particular, we are grateful to Prof. Yoshinaga and Prof. Falk for the rewarding discussions. We also would like to thank Prof. Artal and Prof. Cogolludo for the subsequent fruitful comments, in particular those about the properties of these new Zariski pairs.

1. CONFIGURATIONS OF POINTS AND DUAL ARRANGEMENTS

In the present section, we define our main object: the (t, m)-configurations. We draw your attention to the fact that the definition of configuration considered here is slightly different from the one of Grünbaum in [Grü09]. After recalling some usual definitions about the topology of line arrangements, we define the *chamber weight* of a configuration and state Theorem 1.18 giving the topological invariance.

1.1. **Configurations.**

Definition 1.1. A (t, m)-*configuration* $\mathcal{C}$ is the data $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}, \mathrm{pl})$ composed of two finite sets of points $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_t\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ of $\mathbb{RP}^2$, a finite set of lines $\mathcal{L} = \{(S, V) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}, V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ in $\mathbb{RP}^2$ and a map $\mathrm{pl} : \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{pl}^{-1}(0)$ (called an m-*plumbing* of $\mathcal{S}$) such that:

(1) for any $V_i, V_j \in \mathcal{V}$: $\mathcal{S} \cap (V_i, V_j) = \emptyset$.
(2) for any line $L \in \mathcal{L}$: $\sum_{S \in L \cap \mathcal{S}} \mathrm{pl}(S) = 0$.

The points in $\mathcal{V}$ (resp. in $\mathcal{S}$) are called the *vertices* (resp. *surrounding-points*) of $\mathcal{C}$.

Remark 1.2.

(1) The first condition implies that $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$.
(2) Any line $L \in \mathcal{L}$ contains exactly a vertex and the multiplicity of $\mathcal{L}$ in any surrounding-point is exactly t. See Example 1.5.

Definition 1.3. A (t, m)-*configuration* $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}, \mathrm{pl})$ is called *planar* if the projective subspace generated by the vertices $\mathcal{V}$ is the whole $\mathbb{RP}^2$.

A (t, m)-configuration is *uniform* if its plumbing pl is constant on $\mathcal{S}$, i.e. satisfies the existence of an element $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that for any $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathrm{pl}(S) = \zeta$.

Remark 1.4. Any $(3, 2)$-configuration is necessarily uniform.

Example 1.5. Examples of $(3, 2)$, $(3, m)$ and $(4, 2)$ configurations are given in Figure 1. Remark that the dashed lines in the pictures are not elements of $\mathcal{L}$ in each configuration, but they take an important place in our setting as we show in Section 1.3.

We encode the combinatorial information given by a (t, m)-configuration using non-trivial collinearity between its points.

Definition 1.6. Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}, \mathrm{pl})$ be a (t, m)-configuration, its *combinatorics* is the data of all triplets of collinear points in $\mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{S}$.

In order to simplify the notation of a combinatorics, if $l \geq 4$ points $P_1, \dots, P_l \in \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{S}$ are collinear, we write the set $\{P_1, \dots, P_l\}$ instead of all the triplets contained in $\{P_1, \dots, P_l\}$. We said that two (t, m)-configurations $\mathcal{C}_1 = (\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathrm{pl}_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 = (\mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathrm{pl}_2)$ *have the same combinatorics* if there exists a bijection between $\mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_2$ respecting collinearity relations.

Example 1.7. The combinatorics of the $(3, 2)$-configuration of Figure 1-(A) is given by:

$$\big\{ \{V_1, S_1, S_4\},\ \{V_1, S_2, S_3\},\ \{V_2, S_1, S_3\},\ \{V_2, S_2, S_4\},\ \{V_3, S_1, S_2\},\ \{V_3, S_3, S_4\} \big\}.$$

Remark 1.8.

(1) Vertices and surrounding-points play the same role in the combinatorics of a (t, m)-configuration.



(A) Uniform $(3,2)$-configuration.



$\mathrm{pl} : (S_1, \cdots, S_6) \to (\zeta, -\zeta, \zeta, -\zeta, \zeta, -\zeta)$

(B) Non-uniform, non-planar $(3,m)$-configuration.



(C) Uniform $(4,2)$-configuration.

FIGURE 1. Examples of (t,m)-configurations

(2) The combinatorics of a (t,m)-configuration is not invariant by deformation. For example, it is possible, during a deformation, to create an alignment of three points of $\mathcal{S}$ which changes the combinatorics (see Section 3).

Definition 1.9. Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}, \mathrm{pl})$ be a (t,m)-configuration and $\mathcal{K}$ be its combinatorics.

- An *automorphism of* $\mathcal{K}$ is a bijection ϕ of $\mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{S}$, which preserves $\mathcal{K}$. The set of such bijections is called the *automorphism group of* $\mathcal{K}$ and is denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$.
- An automorphism of $\mathcal{K}$ is *stabilizing* if $\phi(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}$ (equivalently, if $\phi(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$). The sub-group of stabilizing automorphisms is denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{Stab}}(\mathcal{K})$.
- The configuration $\mathcal{C}$ is *stable* if its combinatorics $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathrm{Aut}^{\mathrm{Stab}}(\mathcal{K})$.

Remark 1.10. The $(3,2)$-configuration of Example 1.5 is stable, but the Pappus configuration (cf Figure 10–(A)) is not.

1.2. **Dual arrangements.**

A *complex line arrangement* in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is a finite set of lines $\mathcal{A} = \{D_1, \dots, D_s\}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a *complexified real arrangement* if there is a coordinate system of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ for which each line of $\mathcal{A}$ admits an equation with real coefficients.

Definition 1.11. The *topology* of a line arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is the homeomorphism type of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A})$. This topology is said *ordered* if the homeomorphism respects a fixed order on $\mathcal{A}$.

The abelian group $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the meridians $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_s$ around the lines $D_1, \dots, D_s$, with (only) relation $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathfrak{m}_i = 0$. A *character* ξ of an arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is a morphism from $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}; \mathbb{Z})$ to $\mathbb{C}^*$, and it can be defined by assigning a non-zero complex number to each meridian (or equivalently to each line) such that their sum is 1. The character ξ is of *torsion* if for all $D \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\xi(\mathfrak{m}_D)^r = 1$.

For an arbitrary field $\mathbb{K}$, consider now $\check{\mathbb{KP}}^2 = \{L \mid L \subset \mathbb{KP}^2 \text{ line}\}$ the *dual projective space*, which is equal to the set of $\mathbb{K}$–linear forms in $\mathbb{K}^3$ modulo scalars. There is a natural correspondence between $\mathbb{KP}^2$ and $\check{\mathbb{KP}}^2$ given by the duality $\mathbb{K}^3 \simeq (\mathbb{K}^3)^*$, and respecting incidences, i.e a point P lies in a line L if and only if $L^* \in P^*$. Note that, for any point P and any line L, we have $(P^*)^* = P$ and $(L^*)^* = L$.

Definition 1.12. Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}, \mathrm{pl})$ be a (t,m)-configuration. The *dual plumbed arrangement (DPA)* associated to $\mathcal{C}$ is the triplet $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}, \xi)$, where:

- $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}} = \{V_1^* \otimes \mathbb{C}, \dots, V_t^* \otimes \mathbb{C}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}} = \{S_1^* \otimes \mathbb{C}, \dots, S_n^* \otimes \mathbb{C}\}$ are line arrangements in $\mathbb{CP}^2$,
- ξ is a torsion character of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}} \cup \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}$ assigning 1 to any line of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\exp\left(2\pi i \,\mathrm{pl}(S)/m\right)$ to $S^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}$.

The line arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is called the *dual arrangement* of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}$ the *support* of the dual plumbed arrangement. To simplify the notation the line $V_i^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $S_i^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$) are denoted by $V_i^\bullet$ (resp. $S_i^\bullet$).

Remark 1.13.

(1) This notion of support is related to the support defined in [AFGB16].
(2) By construction, any line arrangement obtained as a DPA of a (t,m)-configuration is a complexified real arrangement.

Note that the converse of the previously described operation is not well-defined in general, i.e. if we consider any couple of an arrangement and a character, then the dual configuration of points and the associated plumbing may not verify the last condition of Definition 1.1. Nevertheless, this operation is well-defined for a particular type of arrangements described in Section 6.

1.3. **Topological invariance of the chamber weight of configurations.**

Our aim is to introduce a topological invariant of arrangements coming from (t,m)-configurations: the *chamber weight* of a configuration. All the proofs of this section are based on a dual version of the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant and are postponed to Section 6.

Let $\mathcal{C}=(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{S},\mathcal{L},\mathrm{pl})$ be a planar $(3,m)$-configuration. The lines (V_1,V_2), (V_2,V_3) and (V_3,V_1) divide $\mathbb{RP}^2$ in 4 chambers denoted by $\mathrm{ch}_1,\dots,\mathrm{ch}_4$. For any chamber ch_i, define the value

$$\tau_i(\mathcal{C})=\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}\cap\mathrm{ch}_i}\mathrm{pl}(S)\in\mathbb{Z}_m.$$

Proposition 1.14. *The value $\tau_i(\mathcal{C})$ is constant for any $i=1,\dots,4$, i.e. it does not depend on the choice of the chamber ch_i. Moreover, it takes values over $\left\{[0],\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]\right\}\subset\mathbb{Z}_m$ if m is even, and it is zero if m is odd.*

Proof. For any two different chambers ch_i and ch_j, take $V\in\mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathrm{ch}_i\cup\mathrm{ch}_j$ is contained in the cone centered in V and delimited by the two lines joining V with the other vertices in $\mathcal{V}$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_V$ the subset of lines in $\mathcal{L}$ passing through V, and take $\mathcal{S}_i=\mathcal{S}\cap\mathrm{ch}_i$ and $\mathcal{S}_j=\mathcal{S}\cap\mathrm{ch}_j$, respectively. Note that, by construction, any point in $\mathcal{S}_i$ or $\mathcal{S}_j$ is contained in a unique line $L\in\mathcal{L}_V$. By the second condition in Definition 1.1, we have:

$$0=\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_V}\sum_{S\in L\cap\mathcal{S}}\mathrm{pl}(S)=\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_V}\sum_{S\in L\cap\mathcal{S}_i}\mathrm{pl}(S)+\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_V}\sum_{S\in L\cap\mathcal{S}_j}\mathrm{pl}(S)=\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}_i}\mathrm{pl}(S)+\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}_j}\mathrm{pl}(S)$$

Thus, $\tau_i(\mathcal{C})+\tau_j(\mathcal{C})=0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_m$ for any $i\neq j$. Considering the previous equations, we deduce that $\tau_i(\mathcal{C})=\tau_j(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, this implies that $\tau_i(\mathcal{C})=-\tau_i(\mathcal{C})$. Then, $\tau_i(\mathcal{C})\in\left\{[0],\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]\right\}\subset\mathbb{Z}_m$ if m is even, it is zero if m is odd. □

An alternative, less combinatorial proof of the previous proposition can be found in Section 6.

Definition 1.15. The *chamber weight* of $\mathcal{C}$ is the value

$$\tau(\mathcal{C})=\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}\cap\mathrm{ch}_i}\mathrm{pl}(S)\in\mathbb{Z}_m.$$

Remark 1.16. In the case of a $(3,2)$-configuration, $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is the parity of the number of points in a chamber ch_i.

Example 1.17. In Figure 1–(A), we have one point S_i in each chamber ch_i. Since the configuration is uniform and $\mathrm{pl}(\mathcal{S})\in\mathbb{Z}_2\setminus\{0\}$, then the chamber weight is:

$$\tau(\mathcal{C})=\mathrm{pl}(S_i)=1.$$

Theorem 1.18. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}'$ be two planar $(3,m)$-configurations. Assume that there is a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ sending $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$ to $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}'}$ respecting a fixed order on them, then:*

$$\tau(\mathcal{C})=\tau(\mathcal{C}').$$

We can refine the previous theorem by deleting the ordered condition assuming that no automorphism of the combinatorics can modify the chamber weight. We could assume that there is no automorphism of the combinatorics, but there is a weaker condition given in the following corollary.

Corollary 1.19. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable planar uniform $(3,m)$-configuration. The chamber weight $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is an invariant of the topology of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$.*

2. Topology of line arrangements: Zariski pairs

The chamber weight of configurations provides a new way to study a classical question for line arrangements: how combinatorial data of an arrangement is related with its topological properties. After recalling basic notions about combinatorics of arrangements and its relation with those of configurations, we provide an example of Zariski pair with 13 lines using this topological invariant. We conclude with the investigation of some additional properties of these arrangements.

2.1. Combinatorics and topology of arrangements.

Analogously to the (t,m)-configurations case, we can encode all the combinatorial data of line arrangements by using incidence properties between lines.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a line arrangement, its *combinatorics* is the set of all triplets of convergent lines in $\mathcal{A}$ at the same point.

In order to simplify this notation, if $k \geq 4$ lines $L_1, \ldots, L_k$ are convergent at a point, we write the set $\{L_1, \ldots, L_k\}$ instead of all the triplets contained in $\{L_1, \ldots, L_k\}$. We say that two line arrangements $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ *have the same combinatorics* if there exists a bijection between $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ respecting incidence relations.

The action of dualizing transforms collinearity relations into incidence relations and vice-versa. As a direct consequence of the construction of dual arrangements, we have:

Proposition 2.2. *A (t,m)-configuration $\mathcal{C}$ and its dual arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$ have the same combinatorics, i.e. the application sending $P \in \mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{S}$ to $P^{\bullet}$ sends a triple of collinear points on a triple of convergent lines.*

Remark 2.3. Classically, a *weaker* notion of combinatorics for line arrangements is also used, defined by the number of lines and the number of singularities of each multiplicity (globally and at each line).

We are interested in the study of the topology-combinatorics interaction in line arrangements. We introduce a classical object in the study of the topology of line arrangements: a *Zariski pair* is formed with two line arrangements which have the same combinatorics and different topological types. In order to study this interaction, and thus to produce Zariski pairs, we use (t,m)-configurations. In particular, we focus on

the construction of planar $(3,2)$-configurations, in order to use Theorem 1.18 or Corollary 1.19. Recall that any $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}$ admits uniquely a constant plumbing $\mathrm{pl}(S) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \setminus \{0\}$, for any $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and in that case the chamber weight is simply the parity of points contained in a chamber.

2.2. **A Zariski pair with 13 lines.**

We construct four $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}, \mathcal{C}_{1,-1}, \mathcal{C}_{-1,1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{-1,-1}$ with the same combinatorics verifying that $\tau(C_{\alpha,\beta}) \neq \tau(C_{\alpha',\beta'})$ if $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$. Using Theorem 1.18 and Corollary 1.19, we can determinate that the associated plumbed dual arrangements have different topology types.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1,1\}$, let $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathrm{pl})$ be four uniform (3,2)-configurations defined by the following data:

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, V_3\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\alpha \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\beta,$$
$$\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_4\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_\alpha = \{S_5^\alpha, S_6^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_\beta = \{S_8^\beta, S_9^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\}.$$

where:

$$V_1 = (0:1:0), \quad V_2 = (1:0:0), \quad V_3 = (0:0:1),$$
$$S_1 = (1:1:1), \quad S_2 = (4:4:1), \quad S_3 = (-1:8:2), \quad S_4 = (8:-1:2),$$
$$S_5^\alpha = (-1:8:4\alpha), \quad S_6^\alpha = (-1:4\alpha:2), \quad S_7^\alpha = (-\alpha:4:4),$$
$$S_8^\beta = (8:-1:4\beta), \quad S_9^\beta = (4\beta:-1:2), \quad S_{10}^\beta = (4:-\beta:4).$$

Note that any line in the four $(3,2)$-configurations contains exactly two surrounding-points, which is compatible with the constant 2-plumbings:

$$\mathrm{pl}(S) = 1, \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta},$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1,1\}$. These four $(3,2)$-configurations are plotted[1] in Figure 2, and detailed in Appendix A.

Proposition 2.4. *For all $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1,1\}$, the configurations $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ are stable and their combinatorics are:*

$$\Big\{ \{V_1, S_1, S_{10}^\beta\}, \{V_1, S_2, S_4\}, \{V_1, S_3, S_6^\alpha\}, \{V_1, S_5^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\}, \{V_1, S_8^\beta, S_9^\beta\},$$
$$\{V_2, S_1, S_7^\alpha\}, \{V_2, S_2, S_3\}, \{V_2, S_4, S_9^\beta\}, \{V_2, S_5^\alpha, S_6^\alpha\}, \{V_2, S_8^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\},$$
$$\{V_3, S_1, S_2\}, \{V_3, S_3, S_5^\alpha\}, \{V_3, S_4, S_8^\beta\}, \{V_3, S_6^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\}, \{V_3, S_9^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\} \Big\}.$$

Proof. The combinatorics can be easily computed using the coordinate of the vertices and surrounding-points previously given. Let us prove that $\mathcal{V}$ is stabilized by any automorphism of the combinatorics. The points of $\mathcal{V}$ are the only one contained in 5 different alignments (the surrounding points are contained in exactly 3 alignments). By definition, the automorphisms of the combinatorics respect the collinearity, then they fix globally the vertices, and we obtain that the configuration $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is stable for $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1,1\}$. □

[1] In order to have clearer pictures, they are not plotted to scale but respecting the combinatorics.



(A) The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}$.



(B) The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{1,-1}$.



(C) The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{-1,1}$.



(D) The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{-1,-1}$.

FIGURE 2. The $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$. In black, the common points $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and their lines. In color, the points and lines corresponding to each configuration $\alpha = 1$, $\alpha = -1$, $\beta = 1$, $\beta = -1$.

Remark 2.5. In fact, we can prove that the automorphism group is of order 4 and generated by the permutation:

$$\sigma_1 : \left(V_1, V_2, V_3, S_1, \ldots, S_{10}^{\beta}\right) \mapsto \left(V_2, V_1, V_3, S_2, S_1, S_{10}^{\beta}, S_7^{\alpha}, S_9^{\beta}, S_8^{\beta}, S_4, S_6^{\alpha}, S_5^{\alpha}, S_3\right),$$

$$\sigma_2 : \left(V_1, V_2, V_3, S_1, \ldots, S_{10}^{\beta}\right) \mapsto \left(V_2, V_1, V_3, S_1, S_2, S_4, S_3, S_8^{\beta}, S_9^{\beta}, S_{10}^{\beta}, S_5^{\alpha}, S_6^{\alpha}, S_7^{\alpha}\right).$$

They can be seen as geometric symmetry in Figure 2, σ_1 is induced by the inversion of S_1 and S_2, and σ_2 corresponds to the symmetry with axis the line $x = y$.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$ the dual arrangement of the $(3, 2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$. Recall that $\mathcal{A}^{1,1}$, $\mathcal{A}^{1,-1}$, $\mathcal{A}^{-1,1}$, $\mathcal{A}^{-1,-1}$ have the same combinatorics, from Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \{-1, 1\}$ be such that $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$. There is no homeomorphism between $\left(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A}^{\alpha',\beta'}\right)$.*

Proof. We proved in Proposition 2.4 that the $(3, 2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is stable for any $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$; in addition, the associated 2-plumbing of any of these configurations is constant on $\mathcal{S}$. In order to prove the theorem, Corollary 1.19 induces that it is sufficient to compute the chamber weight of each configuration and notice that they differ when $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$.

Up to a projective transformation, we may assume that $\ell = (V_1, V_2)$ is the line at the infinite in $\mathbb{RP}^2$, and that (V_1, V_3) and (V_2, V_3) represent the canonical axis of $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{RP}^2 \setminus \ell$. By Remark 1.16, computing the chamber weight of each configuration amounts to determine the number parity of surrounding-points in, for example, the first quadrant ch_1 of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Using Figure 2, we conclude this proof with the following computations: there is an even number of surrounding-points in ch_1 for the configurations $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{-1,-1}$, and an odd number of surrounding-points for $\mathcal{C}_{1,-1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{-1,1}$ in the same quadrant. Then, we have the corresponding chamber weights $\tau(\mathcal{C}_{1,1}) = \tau(\mathcal{C}_{-1,-1}) = 0$ and $\tau(\mathcal{C}_{1,-1}) = \tau(\mathcal{C}_{-1,1}) = 1$, thus the result holds. □

Corollary 2.7. *For $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$, the arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha',\beta'}$ form a Zariski pair.*

Let us remark here that this provides the first example of Zariski pair of line arrangements with rational coefficients.

2.3. **Some properties.**

2.3.1. *A Zariski-like geometric property.* As in Zariski's original example, this Zariski pair can be distinguished from a geometric point of view. Let us denote by $L_{i,j}$ the line joining S_i and S_j in $C_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Proposition 2.8. *If the lines $L_{1,2}$, $L_{5,6}$ and $L_{8,9}$ are concurrent (or equivalently, the points $L^\bullet_{1,2}$, $L^\bullet_{5,6}$ and $L^\bullet_{8,9}$ are collinear) then $\tau(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}) = 0$ and otherwise $\tau(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}) = 1$.*

Remark 2.9. This concurrence is not the only one present in these pairs (see for example $L_{1,2}$, $L_{5,7}$ and $L_{8,10}$) but it is the only one which seems to be invariant up to lattice preserving deformation.

That is it seems to characterize the connected components of the realization space of the combinatorics of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ where the chamber weight τ is zero (see Section 4 for similar properties).

2.3.2. *Arrangements which are $C_{\leq 3}$ but not of simple type.* In [NY12], Nazir and Yoshinaga define the family of $C_{\leq 3}$ *arrangements*, composed of those which possess at most 3 lines $\{L, L', L''\}$ containing any singular point of multiplicity greater than 3. In addition, a $C_{\leq 3}$ arrangement is of *simple type* if L, L', L'' are concurrent or if exactly one of these lines contains an unique point of multiplicity greater than 3.

They prove in [NY12, Thm. 3.11] that the moduli spaces of $C_{\leq 3}$ of simple type are irreducible. As a consequence, such arrangements can not form a Zariski pair.

Note that the arrangements $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$ are $C_{\leq 3}$ arrangements: all singular points of multiplicity greater than 3 are contained on the support of the DPA of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$. However, they are not of simple type since any line of the support contains exactly 5 singular points of multiplicity greater than 3. This fact illustrates the importance of the hypothesis "of simple type" in the result of Nazir and Yoshinaga.

Remark 2.10. In fact, the second condition in Definition 1.1 implies that the dual arrangement of any planar $(3, m)$-configuration is either not a $C_{\leq 3}$ arrangement or a $C_{\leq 3}$ arrangement of non-simple type.

2.3.3. *Milnor fiber.* For a line arrangement $\mathcal{A}$, consider the *Milnor fiber* of $\mathcal{A}$ as the affine hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^3$ defined by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(1)$, where $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the defining polynomial of $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. a square-free product of all linear forms α_L defining each line $L \in \mathcal{A}$. Define $\lambda = \exp(2\pi i/n)$, where n is the number of lines of $\mathcal{A}$ and consider the cyclic group $\mu_n = \langle\lambda\rangle$. Following [CS95], an element of μ_n define a *monodromy automorphism* $h : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$, which induces an algebraic monodromy $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$. Consider the eigenspace decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$ (see [CS95, Theorem 1.6]):

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\xi \in \mu_n} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})_{\xi}. \tag{1}$$

In fact, we can identify $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})_1 \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}; \mathbb{C})$, which is determined by the combinatorics of $\mathcal{A}$. In the case of complexified real arrangements, Yoshinaga [Yos13] gives a geometric algorithm to compute the different eigenspaces.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$, consider $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta}$ being the respective Milnor fiber of $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$. Remark that the arrangements $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$ have exactly 13 lines and only double and triple points. As a direct consequence, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.11. *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$, we have $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta}; \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}; \mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, the characteristic polynomial of the Milnor fiber monodromy h is combinatorially determined, as well as the Betti numbers of the Milnor fiber.*

Proof. Consider the eigenspace decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta}; \mathbb{C})$ given in (1), where we have $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta}; \mathbb{C})_1 \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}; \mathbb{C})$. As the number of lines of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$ is prime, by [Yos13, Corollary 3.12], we deduce that $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta}; \mathbb{C})_{\lambda^k} \simeq 0$ for any $k \not\equiv 0 \bmod 13$. The rest follows from [Lib12, Thm 1.1], since $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$ only contains double and triple points. □

3. Degenerations of the configuration $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$

Using the Zariski pair given in the previous section, we construct two degenerations, which provide other examples of Zariski pairs with 13 lines. Indeed, the $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ configurations are not rigid, then there exists several possible deformations. For example, we can move the point S_3 on the line (V_2, S_2) or the point S_4 along the line (V_1, S_2). The first modification induces modifications for the surrounding-points S_6^α, S_7^α and S_8^α; while the second modifies S_8^β, S_9^β and S_{10}^β. We use these two deformations to construct degenerated versions of the previous Zariski pair producing one or two points of multiplicity 5.

Most of the proofs of the results in this section concerning the combinatorics, the stability and the detection of Zariski pairs by computing the chamber weight of each configuration are identical to those in Section 2 and are left to the reader.

3.1. **With 1 point of multiplicity 5.** Moving the point S_3 along the line (V_2, S_2), we can fix it on the intersection point of (V_1, S_1) and (V_2, S_2). Then we create an alignment of the 5 points: $V_1, S_1, S_3, S_5^\alpha, S_{10}^\beta$. The planar $(3,2)$-configurations obtained in this way (see Figure 3) are denoted by $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$ and they are defined by $(\mathcal{V}^1, \mathcal{S}^1_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{L}^1_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathrm{pl})$, where $\mathcal{V}^1 = \mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$, with:

$$V_1 = (0:1:0), \quad V_2 = (1:0:0), \quad V_3 = (0:0:1),$$

and $\mathcal{S}^1_{\alpha,\beta} = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\} \sqcup \{S_5^\alpha, S_6^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\} \sqcup \left\{S_8^\beta, S_9^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\right\}$ with:

$$S_1 = (1:1:1), \quad S_2 = (4:4:1), \quad S_3 = (1:4:1), \quad S_4 = (8:-1:2),$$
$$S_5^\alpha = (1:4:2\alpha), \quad S_6^\alpha = (1:2\alpha:1), \quad S_7^\alpha = (\alpha:2:2),$$
$$S_8^\beta = (8:-1:4\beta), \quad S_9^\beta = (4\beta:-1:2), \quad S_{10}^\beta = (4:-\beta:4).$$

Proposition 3.1. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$, the $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$ are stables and have the following combinatorics:*

$$\Big\{ \{V_1, S_1, S_3, S_6^\alpha, S_{10}^\beta\},\ \{V_1, S_2, S_4\},\ \{V_1, S_5^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\},\ \{V_1, S_8^\beta, S_9^\beta\},$$
$$\{V_2, S_1, S_7^\alpha\},\ \{V_2, S_2, S_3\},\ \{V_2, S_4, S_9^\beta\},\ \{V_2, S_5^\alpha, S_6^\alpha\},\ \{V_2, S_8^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\},$$
$$\{V_3, S_1, S_2\},\ \{V_3, S_3, S_5^\alpha\},\ \{V_3, S_4, S_8^\beta\},\ \{V_3, S_6^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\},\ \{V_3, S_9^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\} \Big\}.$$

Proof. The combinatorics are clear by construction of $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$. Note that the set of vertices are combinatorially characterized by the following properties: V_1 is the only point of $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$ possessing one 5-alignment and three 3-alignments, while V_2 and V_3 are the only one having five 3-alignments. Then, any automorphism of the combinatorics fixes V_1 and $\{V_2, V_3\}$, implying that $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$ is stable. □

Denote by $\mathcal{A}_1^{\alpha,\beta}$ the respective dual arrangements of $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$. Computing τ for the previous four configurations, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$. There is no homeomorphism between $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A}_1^{\alpha,\beta})$ and $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A}_1^{\alpha',\beta'})$.*



FIGURE 3. The $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}^1_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{C}^1_{1,-1}$. In black, the common points $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and their lines. In color, the points and lines corresponding to each configuration $\alpha = 1$, $\alpha = -1$ and $\beta = 1$.

Proof. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$, the (3,2)-configuration $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$ is stable by Proposition 3.1. Using a similar argument as in proof of Theorem 2.6, we have $\tau(\mathcal{C}^1_{1,1}) = \tau(\mathcal{C}^1_{-1,-1}) = 0 \mod 2$ and $\tau(\mathcal{C}^1_{1,-1}) = \tau(\mathcal{C}^1_{-1,1}) = 1 \mod 2$. By Corollary 1.19, the result holds. □

Corollary 3.3. *If $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \{1, -1\}$ are such that $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$ then $\mathcal{A}_1^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1^{\alpha',\beta'}$ form a Zariski pair.*

We can do the same as previously, moving the point S_4 on the intersection of (V_1, S_2) and (V_2, S_1) instead of moving the point S_3, but the configuration obtained with this procedure is the symmetric of $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$.

3.2. **With 2 points of multiplicity 5.** We can do this procedure moving both S_3 and S_4. We fix the first on the intersection point of (V_1, S_1) and (V_2, S_2) (as previously), and S_4 on the intersection of (V_1, S_2) and (V_2, S_1). In this way, we create two alignments of 5 points: $V_1, S_1, S_3, S_5^\alpha, S_{10}^\beta$ in the first hand, and $V_2, S_1, S_4, S_7^\alpha, S_9^\beta$ in the second. The configurations then obtained are pictured in Figure 4 (for $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, -1)$). They are denoted by $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ and they are defined by $(\mathcal{V}^2, \mathcal{S}^2_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{L}^2_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathrm{pl})$, where $\mathcal{V}^2 = \mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$, with:

$$V_1 = (0:1:0), \quad V_2 = (1:0:0), \quad V_3 = (0:0:1),$$

and $\mathcal{S}^2_{\alpha,\beta} = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\} \sqcup \{S_5^\alpha, S_6^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\} \sqcup \left\{S_8^\beta, S_9^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\right\}$ with:

$$S_1 = (1:1:1), \quad S_2 = (4:4:1), \quad S_3 = (1:4:1), \quad S_4 = (4:1:1),$$
$$S_5^\alpha = (1:4:2\alpha), \quad S_6^\alpha = (1:2\alpha:1), \quad S_7^\alpha = (\alpha:2:2),$$
$$S_8^\beta = (4:1:2\beta), \quad S_9^\beta = (2\beta:1:1), \quad S_{10}^\beta = (2:\beta:2).$$



FIGURE 4. The $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}^2_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{C}^2_{1,-1}$, as well as the conic joining the six points $S_3, S_4, S_5^+, S_7^+, S_8^+, S_{10}^+$. In black, the common points $\mathcal{V}^2$, $\mathcal{S}^2$ and their lines. In color, the points and lines corresponding to each configuration $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1$, $\beta = -1$.

Proposition 3.4. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1, 1\}$, the $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ are stables and have the following combinatorics.*

$$\Big\{ \{V_1, S_1, S_3, S_6^\alpha, S_{10}^\beta\},\ \{V_1, S_2, S_4\},\ \{V_1, S_5^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\},\ \{V_1, S_8^\beta, S_9^\beta\},$$
$$\{V_2, S_1, S_4, S_7^\alpha, S_9^\beta\},\ \{V_2, S_2, S_3\},\ \{V_2, S_5^\alpha, S_6^\alpha\},\ \{V_2, S_8^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\},$$
$$\{V_3, S_1, S_2\},\ \{V_3, S_3, S_5^\alpha\},\ \{V_3, S_4, S_8^\beta\},\ \{V_3, S_6^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\},\ \{V_3, S_9^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\} \Big\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ the respective dual arrangements of $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$. Computing the chamber weight τ for these four configurations, we obtain:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$. There is no homeomorphism between $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta})$ and $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha',\beta'})$.*

Corollary 3.6. *If $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \{-1, 1\}$ are such that $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$ then $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha',\beta'}$ form a Zariski pair.*

Remark 3.7.

(1) We found in these configurations $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ a geometrical distinction of the Zariski pair: we have that $\alpha = \beta$ (i.e. the value $\tau(\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}) = 0$) if and only if the six points $S_3, S_4, S_5^\alpha, S_7^\alpha, S_8^\beta$ and S_{10}^β are contained in a conic. The existence of such a conic is left to the reader.

(2) The characterization of the value $\tau(\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta})$ by the concurrence of the lines (V_3, S_1, S_2), (V_2, S_5, S_6) and (V_1, S_8, S_9) showed in Proposition 2.8 still holds for this degeneration.

We prove in the following section that indeed the previous geometrical properties characterize topologically the connected components of the moduli space of these dual arrangements.

4. Moduli spaces and geometrical characterizations

In this section, we are interested in the space of realizations of the previous presented Zariski pairs. To consider simpler computations, we only give explicitly the case of $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$. The others can be computed in a similar manner.

4.1. Realizations of $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$.

4.1.1. *Computation of the moduli space.* The moduli space of the arrangements $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ is the space formed by all line arrangements having this same combinatorics than $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$. More precisely, the *moduli space* $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ of an arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ (composed of n lines) is defined by:

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = \{\mathcal{B} \in (\check{\mathbb{CP}}^2)^n \mid \mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{A}\}/\operatorname{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{A}$ means that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ have the same combinatorics.

Remark 4.1. Using the notion of ordered combinatorics, we can defined accordingly the ordered moduli space.

Theorem 4.2. *The moduli space Σ_2 of $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ is formed by the arrangements $\mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\kappa_1,\kappa_2}$ composed of the lines:*

$$L_1 : y = 0, \quad L_2 : x = 0, \quad L_3 : z = 0, \quad L_4 : x + y + z = 0,$$
$$L_5 : \gamma x + \gamma y + z = 0, \quad L_6 : x + \gamma y + z = 0, \quad L_7 : \gamma x + y + z = 0,$$
$$L_8 : \kappa_1^{-1} x + \kappa_1 y + z = 0, \quad L_9 : x + \kappa_1 y + z = 0, \quad L_{10} : \kappa_1^{-1} x + y + z = 0,$$
$$L_{11} : \kappa_2 x + \kappa_2^{-1} y + z = 0, \quad L_{12} : \kappa_2 x + y + z = 0, \quad L_{13} : x + \kappa_2^{-1} y + z = 0.$$

where $\kappa_1^2 = \kappa_2^2 = \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ verifying $\kappa_1^3, \kappa_2^3 \neq 1$, $\kappa_1\kappa_2 \neq 1$ and:

(1) *If $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$:*
- $2\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_1 + 1 \neq 0$,
- $2\kappa_1^2 + 2\kappa_1 + 1 \neq 0$,
- $\kappa_1 \neq -1/2$,
- $\kappa_1^3 + 3\kappa_1^2 + 2\kappa_1 + 1 \neq 0$,
- $\kappa_1^3 + 2\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_1 + 1 \neq 0$.

(2) *If $\kappa_1 = -\kappa_2$:*
- $\kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1^2 + 1 \neq 0$,
- $\kappa_1^3 - \kappa_1^2 - 1 \neq 0$,
- $2\kappa_1^2 + 1 \neq 0$,
- $\kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1 - 1 \neq 0$,
- $\kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1 + 1 \neq 0$.

Proof. We consider the points $\{V_1, V_2, V_3, S_1, \ldots, S_{10}\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ of the dual configuration. By the action of $\operatorname{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$, we can fix V_1, V_2, V_3 and S_1. Thus, we assume that:

$$V_1 = (0:1:0), \quad V_2 = (1:0:0) \quad V_3 = (0:0:1) \quad S_1 = (1:1:1).$$

Note that $\mathcal{S} \cap (V_1, V_2) = \emptyset$, thus any surrounding point has projective coordinates $(x_0 : y_0 : 1)$, for some $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

We split the following in two steps. First, we study the condition induced by the collinearity given by the combinatorics, then we remove the realizations with extra singular points or collapsing lines.

Step 1: Collinearity conditions.
Using the combinatorics given in Proposition 3.4, we use the collinearity condition to obtain the following equations depending on the parameters γ, κ_1 and κ_2.

Since S_2 is on the line (V_3, S_1) and is different from both V_3 and S_1, then there is a $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such that $S_2 = (\gamma : \gamma : 1)$. The point S_3 is defined as the intersection of (S_1, V_1) and (S_2, V_2) then $S_3 = (1 : \gamma : 1)$.

Since S_6 is on (S_1, V_1), there is $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such that $S_6 : (1 : \kappa_1 : 1)$. Using the fact that S_5 is the intersection of (S_6, V_2) and (S_3, V_3), we obtain that $S_5 = (\kappa_1 : \kappa_1\gamma : \gamma)$. The lines (S_1, V_2), (V_3, S_6) and (S_5, V_1) are concurrent, and their common point is S_7. Since it is on (S_1, V_2) and (V_3, S_6) then $S_7 = (1 : \kappa_1 : \kappa_1)$, and since it is on (S_5, V_1) then we should have $\kappa_1^2 = \gamma$.

Using the same arguments by symmetry, we can compute the coordinates of S_4, S_8, S_9 and S_{10} depending on γ and a $\kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ verifying $\kappa_2^2 = \gamma$.

Step 2: Preservation of the combinatorics.
If we study the possible collapses between points, the most part of the cases arrives when $\gamma = 0$ or 1. Studying the rest of the them, we found that:

- $S_3 = S_{10}$ if and only if $\kappa_2^3 = 1$, as well as $S_4 = S_7$ if and only if $\kappa_1^3 = 1$.
- $S_5 = S_8, S_6 = S_{10}$ and $S_7 = S_9$ if and only if $\kappa_1\kappa_2 = 1$.

Nonetheless, no other alignment should be formed. The possible extra 3-alignments between points are given below together with the induced restrictions on κ_1 and κ_2. By cases:

(1) If $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$, then:
   - $\{S_2, S_5, S_8\} \Leftrightarrow 2\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_1 + 1 = 0$,
   - $\{S_2, S_5, S_9\}$, $\{S_2, S_6, S_8\}$ and $\{S_2, S_7, S_{10}\} \Leftrightarrow 2\kappa_1^2 + 2\kappa_1 + 1 = 0$,
   - $\{S_2, S_6, S_9\} \Leftrightarrow \kappa_1 = -1/2$,
   - $\{S_2, S_5, S_{10}\}$ and $\{S_2, S_7, S_8\} \Leftrightarrow \kappa_1^3 + 3\kappa_1^2 + 2\kappa_1 + 1 = 0$,
   - $\{S_3, S_7, S_8\}$ and $\{S_4, S_5, S_{10}\} \Leftrightarrow \kappa_1^3 + 2\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_1 + 1 = 0$.

(2) If $\kappa_1 = -\kappa_2$, then:
   - $\{S_2, S_5, S_{10}\} \Leftrightarrow \kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1^2 + 1 = 0$,
   - $\{S_2, S_7, S_8\} \Leftrightarrow \kappa_1^3 - \kappa_1^2 - 1 = 0$,
   - $\{S_2, S_7, S_{10}\} \Leftrightarrow 2\kappa_1^2 + 1 = 0$,
   - $\{S_3, S_7, S_8\} \Leftrightarrow \kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1 - 1 = 0$,
   - $\{S_4, S_5, S_{10}\} \Leftrightarrow \kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1 + 1 = 0$.

To obtain the result, it suffices to take the lines as the dual of these points:

$$L_i = V_i^*,\ i = 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{and} \quad L_{j+3} = S_j^*,\ j = 1, \ldots, 10. \qquad \square$$

4.1.2. *Realization in a number field.* A Zariski pair is said to be *arithmetic* if the equations of the arrangements are Galois-conjugated in a number field (as the example in [ACAGBMB15, GB16a]). Such pairs are particular since they cannot be distinguished by algebraic arguments.

Corollary 4.3. *There is no arithmetic Zariski pair with the combinatorics of* $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$.

Even if their is no Galois-conjugated realization, we can observe a Rybnikov-like construction. Using Theorem 4.2 for $\kappa_1^2 = \kappa_2^2 = 2$, we can notice that there exists $(3,2)$-configurations having the same combinatorics than $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ but defined over the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Indeed, taking $\gamma = 2$, we obtain the configuration given by:

$$V_1 = (0:1:0), \quad V_2 = (1:0:0), \quad V_3 = (0:0:1),$$

$$S_1 = (1:1:1), \quad S_2 = (2:2:1), \quad S_3 = (1:2:1), \quad S_4 = (2:1:1),$$

$$S_5^\alpha = (1:2:\alpha\sqrt{2}), \quad S_6^\alpha = (1:\alpha\sqrt{2}:1), \quad S_7^\alpha = (\alpha\sqrt{2}:2:2),$$

$$S_8^\beta = (2:1:\beta\sqrt{2}), \quad S_9^\beta = (\beta\sqrt{2}:1:1), \quad S_{10}^\beta = (2:\beta\sqrt{2}:2).$$

In [Ryb11], Rybnikov constructs the first known example of Zariski pair by gluing in two different ways two MacLane arrangements, which are complex conjugated but not complexified real arrangements. It is worth noticing that $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ are of the same *semi-arithmetic* nature.

Consider $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{B}^\beta$ the complexified dual arrangements of the points sets $\mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{S} \sqcup \mathcal{S}_\beta$ respectively. Remark that $s(\mathcal{A}^\alpha) = \mathcal{B}^\alpha$, where $s : \mathbb{CP}^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^2$ is the symmetry with respect to the line $x - y = 0$. Then, we obtain that $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ is the a particular gluing of two copy of the $\mathcal{A}^\alpha$ arrangement. More precisely, if σ is the non-trivial Galois automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, we have:

$$\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta} = \varphi_\alpha(\mathcal{A}^1) \cup \psi_\beta(\mathcal{A}^1),$$

where $\varphi_\alpha = \sigma^{(1+\alpha)/2}$ and $\psi_\beta = s \circ \sigma^{(1+\beta)/2}$. Thereby, σ mimics the complex conjugation of Rybnikov example, and s the gluing.

Remark 4.4.

(1) Such realizations in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and Rybnikov-like construction also exist for the combinatorics of $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1^{\alpha,\beta}$.
(2) This construction is close to the one of the first author in [GB16b].

4.2. **Topology of the moduli space.**

From Theorem 4.2, we can obtain additional results on the topology of moduli space. In the first place, we can compute the number of connected components of the space.

Proposition 4.5. *The moduli space* Σ_2 *of* $\mathcal{A}_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ *is formed by two connected components* Σ_2^0 *and* Σ_2^1. *The first is characterized by the relation* $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$, *while the second by* $\kappa_1 = -\kappa_2$.

Proof. We denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that:

$$(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \in \mathcal{R} \iff \mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \in \Sigma_2.$$

Since γ is determined by the values of κ_1 and κ_2 then $\mathcal{R} \simeq \Sigma_2$. By using the first conditions described in Theorem 4.2: $0 = \kappa_1^2 - \kappa_2^2 = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)$ and $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{R} \subset (\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2) \setminus 0,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1 : \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 : \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = 0$ are two lines in $\mathbb{C}^2$ intersecting at the origin. Since all the conditions over $\mathcal{R}$ are algebraic, it has at most two connected components. Indeed, applying the inequality conditions of Theorem 4.2 at each component contained respectively in $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$, we obtain that $\mathcal{R}$ is the disjoint union of two affine spaces $\mathbb{C}_p$ and $\mathbb{C}_q$, which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ punctured with a finite collection of points. □

This corollary implies that $\mathcal{A}_2^{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2^{-1,-1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_2^{-1,1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2^{1,-1}$) have the same topology, in particular: the dual arrangements which are in Σ_2^0 correspond to those for which $\tau(\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}) = 0$, and they belong to Σ_2^1 if $\tau(\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}) = 1$. We can also remark that these arrangements are path-connected in each component as complex arrangements but not as complexified real arrangements. In general, for any $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}$ with the same combinatorics of $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$:

$$\tau(\mathcal{C}) = 0 \iff \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}} \in \Sigma_2^0.$$

In addition, these two connected components are also geometrically characterized: as the original Zariski example of two sextics [Zar29], the Zariski pair formed by $\mathcal{A}_2^{1,1}$ can be distinguished by geometrical properties, in particular by a conic in two different ways (see Figure 5). Denote by $P_{i_1,\dots,i_k}$ the singular point defined by $L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_k} \in \mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\kappa_1,\kappa_2}$.

Proposition 4.6. *For any $\mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \in \Sigma_2$, the following are equivalent:*

1. $\mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \in \Sigma_2^0$.
2. *The six lines $L_6, L_7, L_8, L_{10}, L_{11}, L_{13}$ are tangent to a smooth conic.*
3. *The six triple points $P_{1,8,10}$, $P_{1,11,12}$, $P_{2,8,9}$, $P_{2,11,12}$, $P_{3,9,10}$ and $P_{3,12,13}$ are contained in a smooth conic.*
4. *The three triple points $P_{1,11,12}$, $P_{2,8,9}$ and $P_{3,4,5}$ are aligned.*

Remark 4.7. In terms of the dual configurations of points in $\mathbb{CP}^2$, the second statement in the previous result can be understood as follows: the six points $L_6^*, L_7^*, L_8^*, L_{10}^*, L_{11}^*$ and L_{13}^* belongs to a smooth conic if and only if $\mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \in \Sigma_2^0$.

Proof. Lets prove each geometrical characterization of the arrangements in Σ_2^0 separately.

For the first one, in order to simplify the proof, we will consider the dual point of view as in Remark 4.7, and then prove that $L_6^*, L_7^*, L_8^*, L_{10}^*, L_{11}^*$ and L_{13}^* are on the same conic for any arrangement $\mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\kappa_1,\kappa_2}$ in one connected component and not in the other. The conic

defined by the five points $L_6^*, L_7^*, L_8^*, L_{10}^*, L_{11}^*$ is given by:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} X^2 & Y^2 & Z^2 & XY & XZ & YZ \\ 1 & \gamma^2 & 1 & \gamma & 1 & \gamma \\ \gamma^2 & 1 & 1 & \gamma & \gamma & 1 \\ \kappa_1^{-2} & \kappa_1^2 & 1 & 1 & \kappa_1^{-1} & \kappa_1 \\ \kappa_1^{-2} & 1 & 1 & \kappa_1^{-1} & \kappa_1^{-1} & 1 \\ \kappa_2^2 & \kappa_2^{-2} & 1 & 1 & \kappa_2 & \kappa_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Substituting X, Y and Z by the coordinates of L_{13}^*, we obtain that if $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$ then this determinant is null, while if $\kappa_1 = -\kappa_2$ then this determinant is:

$$\pm 2\kappa_1^{-5}(\kappa_1^2+1)(\kappa_1^2-\kappa_1+1)(\kappa_1^2+\kappa_1+1)(\kappa_1-1)^4(\kappa_1+1)^4,$$

which is non-null if $\mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \in \Sigma_2^0$.

Using analogous arguments over the six triple points $P_{1,8,10}$, $P_{1,11,12}$, $P_{2,8,9}$, $P_{2,11,12}$, $P_{3,9,10}$ and $P_{3,12,13}$, we obtain the second geometrical characterization.

Finally, computing the coordinates of the three triple points $P_{1,11,12}$, $P_{2,8,9}$ and $P_{3,4,5}$, we obtain:

$$P_{1,11,12} = (1:0:-\kappa_2), \quad P_{2,8,9} = (0:1:-\kappa_1), \quad P_{3,4,5} = (1:-1:0).$$

Thus, they are collinear if and only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -\kappa_2 & -\kappa_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 = 0.$$

That is if and only if $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2$. □



(A) A representative of Σ_2^0 and the conics.



(B) A representative of Σ_2^1.

FIGURE 5. Real representatives of the two connected components of Σ_2, considering L_4 as the line at the infinity..

Remark 4.8.

(1) This result generalizes for the connected components one of the geometrical properties which has been already noticed for the configuration $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ in Remark 3.7.
(2) It can be checked that there exist more smooth conics tangent to six lines (resp. containing six points) characterizing the connected components of Σ_2.

5. Other examples of Zariski pairs

Applying similar construction and arguments as in Sections 2 and 3, we construct examples and degenerations of Zariski pairs with 15 and 17 lines using $(3,2)$-configurations. For all of these examples, it exists equations with rational coefficients, but to present coherent equations between the initial pairs and their degenerations together with simpler equations, they are all given over the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$.

5.1. **Examples with 15 lines.**

Let us consider the planar $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathrm{pl})$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \{-1,1\}$, defined by $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$ with

$$V_1 = (0:0:1), \qquad V_2 = (1:0:0), \qquad V_3 = (0:1:0),$$

and $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta} = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5, S_6\} \sqcup \{S_7^\alpha, S_8^\alpha, S_9^\alpha\} \sqcup \left\{S_{10}^\beta, S_{11}^\beta, S_{12}^\beta\right\}$ where

$$\begin{array}{lll} S_1 = (1:1:1), & S_2 = (4:1:1), & S_3 = (4:1:4), \\ S_4 = (2:2:1), & S_5 = (4:-2:1), & S_6 = (-1:1:4), \\ S_7^\alpha = (4:-2:\alpha\sqrt{2}), & S_8^\alpha = (2\alpha\sqrt{2}:-2:1), & S_9^\alpha = (2:-\alpha\sqrt{2}:1), \\ S_{10}^\beta(-1:1:\beta\sqrt{2}), & S_{11}^\beta(-1:2\beta\sqrt{2}:4), & S_{12}^\beta(-\beta\sqrt{2}:4:2). \end{array}$$

The configuration $\mathcal{D}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{1,-1}$ are pictured in Figure 6. Given from left to right and from top to bottom, the surrounding points are given by:

- S_{12}, S_4, S_1, S_2, S_{10}, S_{11}, S_6, S_3, S_9, S_7, S_8, S_5, for $\mathcal{D}_{1,1}$, (cf Figure 6–(A));
- S_{12}, S_4, S_1, S_2, S_6, S_3, S_{11}, S_{10}, S_9, S_7, S_8, S_5, for $\mathcal{D}_{1,-1}$, (cf Figure 6–(B)).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}^{\alpha,\beta}$ be the dual arrangement of the configuration $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta}$, then we have:*

(1) *The combinatorics of the configuration $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is given by:*

$$\Big\{ \{V_1,S_1,S_4\},\ \{V_1,S_2,S_3\},\ \{V_1,S_5,S_7^\alpha\},\ \{V_1,S_6,S_{10}^\beta\},\ \{V_1,S_8^\alpha,S_9^\beta\},\ \{V_1,S_{11}^\beta,S_{12}^\beta\},$$
$$\{V_2,S_1,S_2\},\ \{V_2,S_3,S_6\},\ \{V_2,S_4,S_{12}^\beta\},\ \{V_2,S_5,S_8^\alpha\},\ \{V_2,S_7^\alpha,S_9^\alpha\},\ \{V_2,S_{10}^\beta,S_{11}^\beta\},$$
$$\{V_3,S_1,S_3\},\ \{V_3,S_2,S_5\},\ \{V_3,S_4,S_9^\alpha\},\ \{V_3,S_6,S_{11}^\beta\},\ \{V_3,S_7^\alpha,S_8^\alpha\},\ \{V_3,S_{10}^\beta,S_{12}^\beta\} \Big\}.$$

(2) *$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is stable.*
(3) *If $\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta' \in \{-1,1\}$ are such that $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$, then there is no homeomorphism between $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{M}^{\alpha,\beta})$ and $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{M}^{\alpha',\beta'})$.*



(A) The configuration $\mathcal{D}_{1,1}$ (B) The configuration $\mathcal{D}_{1,-1}$

FIGURE 6. The $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{D}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{1,-1}$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \{-1, 1\}$ be such that $\alpha\beta \neq \alpha'\beta'$, then the arrangements $\mathcal{M}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\alpha',\beta'}$ form a Zariski pair.*

Remark 5.3. Following [Dim15] or [Lib12], we can conclude that the Betti numbers of the Milnor fiber as well as eigenvalues and the characteristic polynomial in the monodromy are the same in these arrangements (thus combinatorially determined), since our arrangements are composed of at most 18 lines and containing only double and triple points.

We can also construct degenerations of these pairs which are Zariski pairs too as in Section 3. There is two different such pairs: the first, denoted by $\mathcal{D}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$, admits 1 point of multiplicity 5 and the second, denoted by $\mathcal{D}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$, admits 2 points of multiplicity 5. These two constructions are summarized in the Table 1 and 2.

Configurations $\mathcal{D}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$	
Created alignment	$\left\{V_2, S_4, S_5, S_8^\alpha, S_{12}^\beta\right\}$
Modified points	$S_5 = (4:2:1)$ $\quad S_7^\alpha = (4:2:\alpha\sqrt{2})$ $S_8^\alpha = (2\alpha\sqrt{2}:2:1)$ $\quad S_9^\alpha = (2:\alpha\sqrt{2}:1)$

TABLE 1. Description of the configurations $\mathcal{D}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Configurations $\mathcal{D}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$	
Created alignments	$\left\{V_2, S_4, S_5, S_8^\alpha, S_{12}^\beta\right\}$ and $\left\{V_3, S_4, S_6, S_9^\alpha, S_{11}^\beta\right\}$
Modified points	$S_5 = (4:2:1)$ $S_7^\alpha = (4:2:\alpha\sqrt{2})$ $S_8^\alpha = (2\alpha\sqrt{2}:2:1)$ $S_9^\alpha = (2:\alpha\sqrt{2}:1)$ $S_6 = (8:1:4)$ $S_{10}^\beta = (8:1:\beta\sqrt{2})$ $S_{11}^\beta = (4:\beta\sqrt{2}:2)$ $S_{12}^\beta = (4\beta\sqrt{2}:2:1)$

TABLE 2. Description of the configurations $\mathcal{D}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$.

5.2. **Examples with 17 lines.**

We can, in the same manner, create Zariski pairs with 17 lines. These pairs are based on a family of arrangements composed of 9 arrangements (determined by 3 parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{-1, 1\}$) and are denoted by $\mathcal{N}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$. More precisely, they are the dual arrangements of the $(3,2)$-configurations de $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \text{pl})$ defined by $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$ with $V_1 = (0:0:1)$, $V_2 = (1:0:0)$, $V_3 = (0:1:0)$, and $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5\} \sqcup \{S_6^\alpha, S_7^\alpha, S_8^\alpha\} \sqcup \left\{S_9^\beta, S_{10}^\beta, S_{11}^\beta\right\} \sqcup \{S_{12}^\gamma, S_{13}^\gamma, S_{14}^\gamma\}$ where

$$S_1 = (1:2:1), \qquad S_2 = (2:1:1)$$

$$S_3 = (2:-4:1), \qquad S_4 = (-4:2:1), \qquad S_5 = (5:10:-2),$$

$$S_6^\alpha = (2:-4:\alpha\sqrt{2}), \qquad S_7^\alpha = (\alpha\sqrt{2}:-4:1), \qquad S_8^\alpha = (1:-2\alpha\sqrt{2}:1),$$

$$S_9^\beta = (-4:2:\beta\sqrt{2}), \qquad S_{10}^\beta = (-4:\beta\sqrt{2}:1), \qquad S_{11}^\beta = (-2\beta\sqrt{2}:1:1),$$

$$S_{12}^\gamma = (5:5\gamma:-2), \qquad S_{13}^\gamma = (5\gamma:5:-1), \qquad S_{14}^\gamma = (10:5:-2\gamma).$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{N}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ be the dual arrangements of the configurations $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, then we have:*

(1) *The configuration $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ have the following combinatorics:*

$$\Big\{\{V_1, S_1, S_5\}, \{V_1, S_2, S_{14}^\gamma\}, \{V_1, S_3, S_6^\alpha\}, \{V_1, S_4, S_9^\beta\}, \{V_1, S_7^\alpha, S_8^\alpha\},$$

$$\{V_1, S_{10}^\beta, S_{11}^\beta\}, \{V_1, S_{12}^\gamma, S_{13}^\gamma\}, \{V_2, S_1, S_4\}, \{V_2, S_2, S_{11}^\beta\}, \{V_2, S_3, S_7^\alpha\},$$

$$\{V_2, S_5, S_{13}^\gamma\}, \{V_2, S_6^\alpha, S_8^\alpha\}, \{V_2, S_9^\beta, S_{10}^\beta\}, \{V_2, S_{12}^\gamma, S_{14}^\gamma\}, \{V_3, S_1, S_8^\alpha\},$$

$$\{V_3, S_2, S_3\}, \{V_3, S_4, S_{10}^\beta\}, \{V_3, S_5, S_{12}^\gamma\}, \{V_3, S_6^\alpha, S_7^\alpha\}, \{V_3, S_9^\beta, S_{11}^\beta\}, \{V_3, S_{13}^\gamma, S_{14}^\gamma\}\Big\}$$

(2) *$\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is stable.*

(3) *If $\alpha\beta\gamma \neq \alpha'\beta'\gamma'$ then there is no homeomorphism between $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{N}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma})$ and $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{N}^{\alpha',\beta',\gamma'})$.*

As example, the configurations $\mathcal{E}_{1,1,1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{-1,-1,-1}$ are pictured in Figure 7. From left to right and from top to bottom, the surrounding points are given by:

- $S_4, S_1, S_{10}^{\beta}, S_9^{\beta}, S_{11}^{\beta}, S_2, S_{14}^{\gamma}, S_{12}^{\gamma}, S_8^{\alpha}, S_6^{\alpha}, S_7^{\alpha}, S_3, S_{13}^{\gamma}, S_5$ in $\mathcal{E}_{1,1,1}$, (cf Figure 7–(A));
- $S_6^{\alpha}, S_8^{\alpha}, S_{12}^{\gamma}, S_{14}^{\gamma}, S_4, S_1, S_2, S_{11}^{\beta}, S_{10}^{\beta}, S_9^{\beta}, S_7^{\alpha}, S_3, S_5, S_{13}^{\gamma}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{-1,-1,-1}$, (cf Figure 7–(B)).



(A) The configuration $\mathcal{E}_{1,1,1}$ (B) The configuration $\mathcal{E}_{-1,-1,-1}$

FIGURE 7. The $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{E}_{1,1,1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{-1,-1,-1}$.

From these pairs we can derived 3 other families of Zariski pairs. They are obtained from the arrangements $\mathcal{N}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ by creating singular points of multiplicity 5. The constructions are summarized in Tables 3, 4 and 5

Configurations $\mathcal{E}^1_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$	
Created alignments	$\{V_2, S_3, S_5, S_7^{\alpha}, S_{13}^{\gamma}\}$
Modified points	$S_3 = (2:-5:1)$ $\quad S_6^{\alpha} = (2:-5:\alpha\sqrt{2})$ $S_7^{\alpha} = (\alpha\sqrt{2}:-5:1)$ $\quad S_8^{\alpha} = (2:-5\alpha\sqrt{2}:2)$

TABLE 3. Description of the configurations $\mathcal{E}^1_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.

Configurations $\mathcal{E}^2_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, with $\gamma = 1$	
Created alignments	$\{V_2, S_3, S_5, S_7^\gamma, S_{13}^\gamma\}$ and $\left\{V_3, S_4, S_{10}^\beta, S_{13}^\gamma, S_{14}^\gamma\right\}$
Modified points	$S_3 = (2:-5:1)$ and $S_4 = (-5:2:1)$ $S_6^\alpha = (2:-5:\alpha\sqrt{2})$ $S_7^\alpha = (\alpha\sqrt{2}:-5:1)$ $S_8^\alpha = (2:-5\alpha\sqrt{2}:2)$ $S_9^\beta = (-5:2:\beta\sqrt{2})$ $S_{10}^\beta = (-5:\beta\sqrt{2}:1)$ $S_{11}^\beta = (-5\beta\sqrt{2}:2:2)$

TABLE 4. Description of the configurations $\mathcal{E}^2_{\alpha,\beta,1}$.

Configurations $\mathcal{E}^3_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$	
Created alignments	$\left\{V_1, S_1, S_3, S_6^\alpha, S_{11}^\beta\right\}$ and $\left\{V_1, S_2, S_4, S_9^\beta, S_{14}^\gamma\right\}$
Modified points	$S_3 = (2:4:1)$ $S_4 = (4:2:1)$ $S_6^\alpha = (2:4:\alpha\sqrt{2})$ $S_7^\alpha = (\alpha\sqrt{2}:4:1)$ $S_8^\alpha = (1:2\alpha\sqrt{2}:1)$ $S_9^\beta = (4:2:\beta\sqrt{2})$ $S_{10}^\beta = (4:\beta\sqrt{2}:1)$ $S_{11}^\beta = (2\beta\sqrt{2}:1:1)$

TABLE 5. Description of the configurations $\mathcal{E}^3_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$.

6. Relation with $\mathcal{I}$-invariant and proof of the main results

The main idea of the proof is that the chamber weight τ is a dual version of the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant. Since we are considering $(3,m)$-configurations, we only need to define the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant for a triangular inner-cyclic arrangement. In this way, we first introduce the fundamental notions on the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant, thus we prove our main results of Section1 by relating this object with $(3,m)$-configurations. Finally, we point out the possible use of this point of view in the study of the characteristic varieties by the study of the Pappus and non-Pappus arrangement.

6.1. **Recall on the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant.**

6.1.1. *Definition.* The $\mathcal{I}$-invariant was first introduced by Artal, Florens and the first author in [AFGB16], and generalized for algebraic plane curves by Meilhan and the first author in [GBM16]. It can be defined as an adaptation of the linking number of knots. In [AFGB16], the idea is to consider a cycle γ in the boundary of a regular neighborhood of the arrangement and to look at him as an element of the complement. To delete the indeterminacies in the definition of the cycle, we consider the image of the cycle by a character ξ. In the other hand, following [GBM16], the cycle γ is considered as contained

in the arrangement and then, it is viewed as an element of the complement of the sub-arrangement (denoted $\mathcal{A}^c_\gamma$) which does not intersect γ. The indeterminacies are solved using a quotient of $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^c_\gamma)$ by a suitable sub-group. We use here a mixture between these two approaches: the cycle γ is contained in the arrangement as in [GBM16], but we will consider a character ξ as in [AFGB16].

We consider $\mathcal{A} = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ a line arrangement of $\mathbb{CP}^2$, such that D_1, D_2 and D_3 are in generic position and, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $D_i \cap D_j$ is a singular point of multiplicity 2 in $\mathcal{A}$. Let γ be the image of the embedding of S^1 in the "triangle" formed by D_1, D_2 and D_3, such that γ has no self-intersection, it passes exactly once by each singular point of the triangle and it does not traverse other singular point of $\mathcal{A}$. We take an orientation of γ such that this path passes through D_1, D_2 and D_3 in this cyclic order. We denote by $\mathcal{A}^c_\gamma$ the sub arrangement of $\mathcal{A}$ composed of the lines $D_4, \dots, D_n$. The sub-arrangement $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$ is called the *support* of γ. Remark that by construction, γ is contained in $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^c_\gamma$.

Let $\xi : \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character on the first integral homology group of the complement of $\mathcal{A}$, such that $\xi(\mathfrak{m}_{D_i}) = 1$ if and only if $i = 1, 2, 3$, where $\mathfrak{m}_D$ is the homology class of a meridian of the line $D \in \mathcal{A}$. We denote by $\tilde{\xi}$ the restriction of ξ to $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^c_\gamma)$. The triple $(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma)$ is called a *triangular inner-cyclic arrangement* if for all singular point P of $\mathcal{A}$ contained in $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$, we have $\prod_{D \ni P} \xi(\mathfrak{m}_D) = 1$.

Remark 6.1. The previous definition of triangular inner-cyclic arrangements is slightly stronger than the one of [AFGB16]. Indeed, no line of $\mathcal{A}$ except D_1, D_2 and D_3 takes value 1 by ξ.

Theorem 6.2 ([AFGB16])**.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma)$ be a triangular inner-cyclic arrangement, the value*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma) = \tilde{\xi}([\gamma])$$

is invariant by homeomorphism of the pair $(\mathbb{CP}^2, \mathcal{A})$ respecting the order and the orientation of $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark 6.3.

(1) The condition "respecting the orientation" means that the homeomorphism of the pair must respect the global orientation of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and the local orientation of the meridian around the line of $\mathcal{A}$.
(2) By [AFGB16, Corollary 2.6.], we know that for a complexified real arrangement,

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma) = \pm 1.$$

6.1.2. *Computation.* The computation of the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant is described in [AFGB16, Section 4]. We explain here how to compute it in the particular case of a triangular inner-cyclic arrangement which is also complexified real, since it is the case of the DPA of any $(3, m)$-configuration.

In the general case, the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant is computed from the *wiring diagram* of $\mathcal{A}$ (for further details see [AFGB16, Section 4]). For a complexified real arrangement $\mathcal{A}$, the

real picture $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{RP}^2$ is a wiring diagram of $\mathcal{A}$. Up to a projective transformation, we may assume that D_1, D_2 and D_3 are respectively $z = 0$, $x + y = 0$ and $x - y = 0$, and that no line of $\mathcal{A}$ is of the form $y + az = 0$. In the following, D_1 is considered as the line at infinity and $\mathbb{C}^2$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^2$) refers to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus D_1$ (resp. $\mathbb{RP}^2 \setminus D_1$). The trace of the lines $D_2, \ldots, D_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ are denoted by $D'_2, \ldots, D'_n$ respectively. Let H be the half-plane of $\mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $H = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x < 0\}$. In order to compute the invariant, let us denote by $\mathcal{D}_2$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_3$) the set of lines defined by $\{D \in \mathcal{A} \mid D' \cap D'_2 \in H,\ \mathrm{sl}(D') < \mathrm{sl}(D'_2)\}$ (resp. $\{D \in \mathcal{A} \mid D' \cap D'_3 \in H,\ \mathrm{sl}(D') < \mathrm{sl}(D'_3)\}$), where $\mathrm{sl}(D')$ is the slope of the affine real line D'; and by $\mathcal{D}_1$ the set of lines D in $\mathcal{A}$ such that their associated real affine line D' has a slope between -1 and 1.

Remark 6.4. Note that $\mathcal{D}_2 \subset \mathcal{D}_3$ and $\mathcal{D}_3 \setminus \mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_3$. Indeed, if a line D_j intersects D'_2 in H with a slope smaller than $-1 = \mathrm{sl}(D'_2)$, then this line also intersects D'_3 in H with a slope smaller than $1 = \mathrm{sl}(D'_3)$ since the intersection point of D'_2 and D'_3 is on the boundary of the half-plane H. For example, it is the case of D'_5 in Figure 8.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma)$ be a triangular inner-cyclic arrangement. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a complexified real arrangement, then we have:*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma) = \xi\Big(\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_3} \mathfrak{m}_D\Big)^{-1}.$$

Proof. This proof is based on an adaptation of [AFGB16, Proposition 4.3] to the case of triangular inner-cyclic arrangement which are also real complexified. First, we prove that:

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma) = \xi\Big(\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_2} \mathfrak{m}_D - \sum_{D' \in \mathcal{D}_3} \mathfrak{m}_{D'}\Big), \tag{2}$$

which is an adaptation in our case of those given in [AFGB16, Lemma 4.2].

Let us recall briefly the notation of [AFGB16, Section 4] and the statement of the Lemma: $\beta_{0,u}$ is the braid obtained from the singular braid defined by $\big(D'_1 \cup \cdots \cup D'_n\big) \cap H$, by exchanging the singular points by the corresponding local oriented half-twist; the braid $\beta_{u,0}$ is the mirror braid of $\beta_{0,u}$ reversing the orientation; and the $a_{j,s}(\beta)$ are the number (counting with sign) of crossings of the j^{th} string over the s^{th} string in β. Lemma 4.2 of [AFGB16] states that there exists a cycle γ such that:

$$[\gamma] = \sum_{j=4}^{n} a_{j,2}(\beta_{0,u}) \cdot \mathfrak{m}_{D_j} + \sum_{j=4}^{n} a_{j,3}(\beta_{u,0}) \cdot \mathfrak{m}_{D_j} \in \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^c_\gamma).$$

In our case, the strings over-crossing the string associated to D'_2 (resp. D'_3) correspond to the lines intersecting D'_2 (resp. D'_3) in H with smaller slope than D'_2 (resp. D'_3), that is, exactly the set $\mathcal{D}_2$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_3$). Each string over-crosses at most once the string associated with D'_2 (resp. D'_3) since they come from the intersection of the corresponding line with D_2 (resp. D_3). Finally, the minus sign before the second sum in Equation (2) is due to the fact that $\beta_{u,0}$ is the mirror of $\beta_{0,u}$ and that the orientation of the crossings are inverted.

To obtain the result, let remark that the inclusion of $\mathcal{D}_2$ in $\mathcal{D}_3$ induces that: for any $j = 4, \dots, n$ if $a_{j,3}(\beta_{u,0}) \neq 0$ then $a_{j,2}(\beta_{0,u}) = -a_{j,3}(\beta_{u,0})$. Thus all terms of the first sum are canceled by terms of the second one in Equation (2). As $\mathcal{D}_3 \setminus \mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_3$, the result holds. □

Example 6.6. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the dual arrangement of the $(3,2)$-configuration of Figure 1 (A), its affine real picture is given in Figure 8, with in gray the half-plan H. We consider the character ξ sending $\mathfrak{m}_{D_i}$ on -1, for $i = 4, \dots, 7$, then $(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma)$ is a triangular inner-cyclic arrangement. In order to compute $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma)$, we need to compute the image of γ in $\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^c_\gamma)$. We have $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{D'_6, D'_7\}$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{D'_5\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_3 = \{D'_5, D'_7\}$, then $[\gamma] = -\mathfrak{m}_{D_7}$. Finally, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma) = \xi(-\mathfrak{m}_{D_7}) = -1$.



FIGURE 8. Dual arrangement of the configuration given in Figure 1 (A)

6.2. **Proof of the main results.**

6.2.1. $(3,m)$-*configuration vs triangular inner-cyclic arrangement.*

Let us explain how to link the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant with $\tau(\mathcal{C})$. In all this section we consider $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{L}, \mathrm{pl})$ a planar $(3,m)$-configuration, $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}, \xi)$ its DPA, and γ a cycle contained in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}$.

Proposition 6.7. *The triplet $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}, \xi, \gamma)$ is a triangular inner-cyclic arrangement.*

Proof. The aim here is to prove that the support of the DPA is also the support of the cycle γ.

Since the configuration $\mathcal{C}$ is planar, then the arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}$ is generic. By Definition 1.1 (1), for $i, j \in \{1,2,3\}$, the singular points $V_i^\bullet \cap V_j^\bullet$ are of multiplicity 2 in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$. The singular points of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$ contained in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}$ are exactly the (complexified) dual of the lines $L \in \mathcal{L}$ (see Definition 1.1), we denote them by $L^\bullet$. Finally, Definition 1.1 (2) implies that for all $L^\bullet$ we have:

$$\prod_{S^\bullet \ni L^\bullet} \xi(\mathfrak{m}_{S^\bullet}) = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{m} \sum_{S \in L \cap \mathcal{S}} \mathrm{pl}(S)\right) = 1.$$

□

Remark 6.8. The converse is also true. That is if $(\mathcal{A}, \xi, \gamma)$ is a triangular inner-cyclic complexified real arrangement then its dual configuration of points admits a structure of $(3, m)$-configuration.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $(3, m)$-configuration and $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}, \xi, \gamma)$ its associated triangular inner-cyclic arrangement. The chamber weight of $\mathcal{C}$ and the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant of $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}, \xi, \gamma)$ are related by the formula:*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}, \xi, \gamma) = \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi i}{m}\tau(\mathcal{C})\right).$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.5, the proof this theorem is equivalent the one that the dual of the set $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_3$ correspond to the set $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathrm{ch}_i$, for a chamber $\{\mathrm{ch}_1, \mathrm{ch}_2, \mathrm{ch}_3, \mathrm{ch}_4\}$. Let us recall that the set $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_3$ can be defined by:

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_3 = \left\{D \in \mathcal{A} \;\middle|\; D' \cap D_3 \in H,\ -1 < \mathrm{sl}(D') < 1\right\}.$$

A line D such that $D' \cap D'_3$ lies in H corresponds to a dual point P, i.e. $P^\bullet = D$, contained in $\mathfrak{c}_3$, one of the two cones of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ defined by the lines (V_1, V_3) and (V_2, V_3). In the same way, a line D such that $-1 < \mathrm{sl}(D') < 1$ correspond to a dual point contained in $\mathfrak{c}_1$, one of the two cones of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ defined by the lines (V_1, V_2) and (V_1, V_3).

The intersection of these two cones $\mathfrak{c}_1$ and $\mathfrak{c}_3$ is exactly one of the chambers ch_i (see Figure 9). To conclude, the lines of $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_3$ are lines of $\mathcal{A}$ then their dual points are in $\mathcal{V} \sqcup \mathcal{S}$; but they are distinct of $V_i^\bullet$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then they are elements of $\mathcal{S}$. Finally, we obtain that the dual of $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_3$ is $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathrm{ch}_i$.

Since $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as the sum of the plumbing of the surrounding-points contained in a chamber ch_i, then the Theorem results from the definition of the character ξ of the DPA. □



FIGURE 9. Intersection of two sectors.

By the following proposition, we give an alternative and topological proof of Proposition 1.14, stating that the chamber weight $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is well defined. Let us recall this proposition:

Proposition 6.10. *The value of $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is independent of the considered chamber* ch_i.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 6.9, we show that for a particular choice of a cycle γ, the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant is obtained by the chamber weight of a given chamber. In fact, this chamber is determined by the orientation of γ and the line of its support which is taken as line at infinity in our construction of $\mathcal{D}_1$, $\mathcal{D}_2$ and $\mathcal{D}_3$. As the value of the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant does not depend on the choice of γ and the line at infinity which determines $\mathcal{D}_1$, $\mathcal{D}_2$ and $\mathcal{D}_3$, we deduce that all chamber weights are equal. □

Remark 6.11. Because of Proposition 1.14, we only consider configuration with plumbing in $\mathbb{Z}_m$ with m even. Then the character ξ of the DPA also have an even order. Since $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}, \xi, \gamma)^{-1} = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}, \xi, \gamma^{-1})$, the order of the character ξ induced that, in the case of a DPA, $\mathcal{I}$ is independant of the orientation of γ.

6.2.2. *Proofs of Theorem 1.18 and of Corollary 1.19.*

From Theorem 6.9 and [AFGB16, Theorem 2.1], the value $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is an invariant of the ordered and oriented topology of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$. Since the arrangement considered are complexified real arrangement, then their complex conjugated arrangement are themself (ie $\overline{\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$), we can thus apply [AFGB16, Corollary 2.6]. Remark that this implies that if m is even then $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is 0 or $m/2$ in $\mathbb{Z}_m$; and if m is odd then $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is zero, as already proven in Proposition 1.14. Therefore by [ACRCAMB05, Theorem 4.19] (or equivalently [GB16a, Lemma 4.12]), we can remove the oriented condition and obtain Theorem 1.18.

In order to obtain Corollary 1.19, we just have to notice that the value of $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ is invariant by any automorphism of the combinatorics of $\mathcal{C}$ when configuration is stable and uniform. Indeed, in such a case, $\#(\mathcal{S} \cap \mathrm{ch}_i)$ is unchanged by any automorphism of the combinatorics since the configuration is stable; and as the plumbing is constant, we have:

$$\tau(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathrm{ch}_i} \mathrm{pl}(S) = \zeta \cdot \#(\mathcal{S} \cap \mathrm{ch}_i),$$

where $\zeta = \mathrm{pl}(S)$ for any $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

6.3. **Perspectives for the study of characteristic varieties.**

In this section, we does not recall the definition of the quasi-projective part of the characteristic varieties neither how to compute it from the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant and the combinatorics. For this, we refer to [AFGB16, Section 3]. In [Art94a], Artal proves that the characteristic varieties are not determined by the weak combinatorics, i.e. the number of singular points, their multiplicities and their repartition on the lines. More precisely, he disproves a Orlik's conjecture which affirms that:

$$b_1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}) = b_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad b_2(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}) = b_2(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}) + (m-1)\chi(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathcal{A}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the Milnor fiber of $\mathcal{A}$. In order to obtain this result, he computes the corresponding Betti numbers for two classical examples of arrangements.



$\mathrm{pl} : (S_1, \ldots, S_6) \to (\zeta, -\zeta, \zeta, -\zeta, -\zeta, \zeta)$

(A) Pappus configuration ($\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_m$)

$\mathrm{pl} : (S_1, \ldots, S_6) \to (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$

(B) Non-Pappus configuration

FIGURE 10. Pappus and non-Pappus $(3, 2)$-configurations.

A consequence of [Art14], of our construction of configurations DPA and of Theorem 6.9 is that if $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}, \xi)$ is the DPA of a $(3, m)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}$, then the quasi-projective depth of ξ is given by:

$$\overline{\mathrm{depth}}(\xi) = \mathrm{corank} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - l_1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 - l_2 & j \\ 1 & j & 1 - l_3 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau(\mathcal{C}) = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \tau(\mathcal{C}) = m/2 \end{cases},$$

and l_i is the number of lines in $\mathcal{L}$ passing through V_i, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Using this formula of the quasi-projective depth of a character, we have an alternative proof of the result of Artal [Art94a]. Indeed, if we consider the $(3, 2)$-configurations given in Figure 10 (called the Pappus and the non-Pappus configurations), we obtain that:

- For all character of the dual arrangement of $\mathcal{P}$, we have $\overline{\mathrm{depth}}(\xi) = 0$,
- If $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{S}}, \xi)$ is the DPA of the non-Pappus configuration, then $\overline{\mathrm{depth}}(\xi) > 0$.

The Pappus and the non-Pappus arrangements have the same weak combinatorics: 9 lines, 9 triple points and 3 of them on each line, but not the same combinatorics. These arrangements are two of the three different possible realizations for this weak combinatorics (called *configurations of type* (9_3), following [Grü09, Sec. 2.2]). In fact, these two are the unique realizations which are triangular inner-cyclic arrangements. Thus, we obtain that:

Proposition 6.12. *The quasi-projective part of the characteristic varieties of a real line arrangement is not determined by the weak combinatorics.*

Using the same calculation for the $(3, m)$-configurations of our previously introduced Zariski Pairs, we can easily compute the projective depth of the character defined by each DPA. We obtain:

Proposition 6.13. *The quasi-projective part of the characteristic variety related to the dual arrangements of the configurations* $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\mathcal{C}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\mathcal{D}^1_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\mathcal{D}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, $\mathcal{E}^1_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, $\mathcal{E}^2_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ *and* $\mathcal{E}^2_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ *are combinatorially determined.*

In the case of triangular inner-cyclic arrangements, the previous matrix determining the quasi-projective depth $\overline{\text{depth}}(\xi)$ becomes a strictly diagonal dominant matrix, when l_1, l_2 and l_3 are greater or equal to 4 (i.e. when we have at least 4 singular points lying in each line of the support). In such case, the matrix becomes full-rank and $\overline{\text{depth}}(\xi)$ is 0. Almost all DPA of $(3, m)$-configuration have this property. In particular, it is the case of all our previous examples of Zariski pairs.

We have show how the use of the $(3, m)$-configurations and the chamber weight can be efficient to study the topology of line arrangements. First, to detect new examples of Zariski pairs as done in Section 2 and 5, but also to study the problem of the combinatoriality of characteristic varieties, as show with Proposition 6.12. Nevertheless, the following general question is still open:

Are the characteristic varieties combinatorially determined?

We could contemplate the use of this new approach, with the (t, m)-configurations and the chamber weight, to attempt to obtain an answer for this question.

References

[ACAGBMB15] Enrique Artal, José I. Cogolludo-Agustín, Benoît Guerville-Ballé, and Miguel A. Marco-Buzunariz. An arithmetic zariski pair of line arrangements with non-isomorphic fundamental group. Available at `arXiv: 1507.00190`, to appear in *RACSAM*, (2015).

[ACAT08] Enrique Artal, José I. Cogolludo-Agustín, and Hiro-o Tokunaga. A survey on Zariski pairs. In *Algebraic geometry in East Asia—Hanoi 2005*, volume 50 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 1–100. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008.

[ACRCAMB05] Enrique Artal, Jorge Carmona Ruber, José I. Cogolludo-Agustín, and Miguel Marco Buzunáriz. Topology and combinatorics of real line arrangements. *Compos. Math.*, 141(6):1578–1588, 2005.

[AFGB16] Enrique Artal, Vincent Florens, and Benoît Guerville-Ballé. A topological invariant of line arrangements. To appear in Annali della Scuola Normale di Pisa - Classe di Scienze. Available at `arXiv:1407.3387`, 2016.

[AGBVS17] Enrique Artal, Benoît Guerville-Ballé, and Juan Viu-Sos. Fundamental groups of real line arrangements and torsion in the LCS. Preprint, (2017).

[Art94a] Enrique Artal. Combinatorics and topology of line arrangements in the complex projective plane. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 121(2):385–390, 1994.

[Art94b] Enrique Artal. Sur les couples de Zariski. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 3(2):223–247, 1994.

[Art14] Enrique Artal. Topology of arrangements and position of singularities. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 23(2):223–265, 2014.

[Arv92] William A. Arvola. The fundamental group of the complement of an arrangement of complex hyperplanes. *Topology*, 31(4):757–765, 1992.

[BS16] Pauline Bailet and Simona Settepanella. Homology graph of real arrangements and monodromy of milnor fiber. Preprint at `arXiv: 1606.03564`, (2016).

[CR03] Jorge Carmona-Ruber. *MonodromÃa de trenzas de curvas algebraicas planas*. PhD thesis, Universidad de Zaragoza, 2003.

[CS95] Daniel C. Cohen and Alexander I. Suciu. On Milnor fibrations of arrangements. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 51(1):105–119, 1995.

[CS99] Daniel C. Cohen and Alexander I. Suciu. Characteristic varieties of arrangements. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 127(1):33–53, 1999.

[Dim15] Alexandru Dimca. Monodromy of triple point line arrangements. In *Singularities in geometry and topology 2011*, volume 66 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 71–80. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2015.

[DP03] Alexandru Dimca and Stefan Papadima. Hypersurface complements, Milnor fibers and higher homotopy groups of arrangments. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 158(2):473–507, 2003.

[GB16a] Benoît Guerville-Ballé. An arithmetic Zariski 4-tuple of twelve lines. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(1):537–553, 2016.

[GB16b] Benoît Guerville-Ballé. Multiplicativity of the $\mathcal{I}$-invariant and topology of glued arrangements. Available at `arXiv:1506.08227`, to appear in *J. Math. Soc. Jpn*, (2016).

[GBM16] Benoît Guerville-Ballé and Jean-Baptiste Meilhan. A linking invariant for algebraic curves. Available at `arXiv:1602.04916`, 2016.

[Grü09] Branko Grünbaum. *Configurations of points and lines*, volume 103 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.

[Hir93] Eriko Hironaka. Abelian coverings of the complex projective plane branched along configurations of real lines. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 105(502):vi+85, 1993.

[Kam33] Egbert R. Van Kampen. On the Fundamental Group of an Algebraic Curve. *Amer. J. Math.*, 55(1-4):255–260, 1933.

[Lib02] Anatoly Libgober. Eigenvalues for the monodromy of the Milnor fibers of arrangements. In *Trends in singularities*, Trends Math., pages 141–150. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.

[Lib12] Anatoly Libgober. On combinatorial invariance of the cohomology of the Milnor fiber of arrangements and the Catalan equation over function fields. In *Arrangements of hyperplanes—Sapporo 2009*, volume 62 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 175–187. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2012.

[Moi81] B. G. Moishezon. Stable branch curves and braid monodromies. In *Algebraic geometry (Chicago, Ill., 1980)*, volume 862 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 107–192. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.

[NY12] Shaheen Nazir and Masahiko Yoshinaga. On the connectivity of the realization spaces of line arrangements. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 11(4):921–937, 2012.

[OS80] Peter Orlik and Louis Solomon. Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes. *Invent. Math.*, 56(2):167–189, 1980.

[Ryb11] Gregory L. Rybnikov. On the fundamental group of the complement of a complex hyperplane arrangement. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 45(2):71–85, 2011. Preprint available at `arXiv:math.AG/9805056`.

[Suc01] Alexander I. Suciu. Fundamental groups of line arrangements: enumerative aspects. In *Advances in algebraic geometry motivated by physics (Lowell, MA, 2000)*, volume 276 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 43–79. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[Yos13] Masahiko Yoshinaga. Milnor fibers of real line arrangements. *J. Singul.*, 7:220–237, 2013.

[Yos15] Masahiko Yoshinaga. Resonant bands, local systems and Milnor fibers of real line arrangements. In *Combinatorial methods in topology and algebra*, volume 12 of *Springer INdAM Ser.*, pages 143–148. Springer, Cham, 2015.

[Zar29] Oscar Zariski. On the Problem of Existence of Algebraic Functions of Two Variables Possessing a Given Branch Curve. *Amer. J. Math.*, 51(2):305–328, 1929.

[Zar31] Oscar Zariski. On the irregularity of cyclic multiple planes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 32(3):485–511, 1931.

[Zar36] Oscar Zariski. On the Poincaré Group of Rational Plane Curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 58(3):607–619, 1936.

Appendix A. The $(3,2)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and their duals

In Section 2, we introduce four the $(3,m)$-configurations $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and their dual arrangements $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}$, for $\alpha,\beta\in\{-1,1\}$, which composed Zariski pairs of 13 lines. We picture in this appendix detailed real pictures of both the configurations and dual arrangements. The dual line arrangements are pictured here up to rotation of their associated configurations, in order to obtain a more presentable figures.



Figure 11. The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}$.



FIGURE 12. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{1,1}$.



FIGURE 13. The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{1,-1}$.



FIGURE 14. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{1,-1}$.



FIGURE 15. The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{-1,1}$.



FIGURE 16. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{-1,1}$.



FIGURE 17. The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}_{-1,-1}$.



FIGURE 18. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{-1,-1}$.

APPENDIX B. THE $(3,2)$-CONFIGURATIONS $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ AND THEIR DUALS

From the previous configurations, we obtain in Section 3 degenerated configurations $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ with two alignments of five points, whose moduli space is studied in Section 4. The dual arrangements $\mathcal{A}^{\alpha,\beta}_2$ of $\mathcal{C}^2_{\alpha,\beta}$ are pictured taking the line $S^\bullet_1$ (containing the two points of multiplicity five) as line at infinity.



FIGURE 19. The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}^2_{1,1}$ and the conic joining the six points $S_3, S_4, S_5^+, S_7^+, S_8^+, S_{10}^+$.



FIGURE 20. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_2^{1,1}$ and the two smooth conics characterizing the connected component: one tangent to six lines and the other containing 6 triple points.



FIGURE 21. The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}^2_{1,-1}$.



FIGURE 22. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_2^{1,-1}$.



FIGURE 23. The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}^2_{-1,1}$.



FIGURE 24. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_2^{-1,1}$.



FIGURE 25. The $(3,2)$-configuration $\mathcal{C}^2_{-1,-1}$ and the conic joining the six points $S_3, S_4, S_5^-, S_7^-, S_8^-, S_{10}^-$.



FIGURE 26. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_2^{-1,-1}$ and the two smooth conics characterizing the connected component: one tangent to six lines and the other containing 6 triple points.

Tokyo Gakugei University
Department of Mathematics
4-1-1 Nukui-kitamachi, Koganei-shi
184-8501 Tokyo, Japan
E-mail address: benoit.guerville-balle@math.cnrs.fr

Institut Fourier
UMR CNRS 5582
100 Avenue des Mathématiques
Université Grenoble Alpes
38610 Gières, France
E-mail address: jviusos@math.cnrs.fr